

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,820	07/21/2003	John H. Rallis	P3179	7373
30143	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
TODD N. HATHAWAY 119 N. COMMERCIAL ST. #620			ADAMS, GREGORY W	
	M, WA 98225		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/624,820	RALLIS, JOHN H.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory W. Adams	3652		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 15 August 2005			
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice u	·	• •		
Diamonition of Claims	•			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the appli				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/2003. 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections .

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 8-17 recite an improper Markush group of alternatives. Alternative expressions are permitted if they present no uncertainty or ambiguity with respect to the question of scope or clarity of the claims. One acceptable form of alternative expression, which is commonly referred to as a Markush group, recites members as being "selected from the group consisting of A, B and C." MPEP 2173.05(h). For examination purposes, the limitation will be read as a proper Markush group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weir (US 3,613,910).

With respect to claim 1-3 & 5, Weir discloses a warehousing system comprising: feed conveyor 215, tiered storage racks 176, loading/unloading conveyor 24, traveling conveyor 120, means 123 for selectively moving traveling conveyor between a location in which a traveling conveyor 120 is aligned with storage racks176 and a location in which a traveling conveyor 120 is aligned with a loading/unloading conveyor 24,

traveling conveyor deck 120, means 129 for elevating a deck, wheeled chassis 123 and track 121.

With respect to claim 11, Weir discloses a beveled edge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Hayashi (US 5,082,415). Weir discloses a traveling conveyor, and does not disclose a scissor jack. Hayashi disclose a scissor jack 9,10 to raise and lower a conveyor depending on a where adjacent conveyor 3 height. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's traveling conveyor to include a scissor jack, as per the teachings of Hayashi, such that a traveling conveyor can be vertically adjusted depending on an adjacent conveyor height.
- 3. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Tharpe (US 5,887,699). Weir does not disclose a branch portion and diverter. Tharpe discloses a feed conveyor 40 comprising a branch portion 40 which diverges from a main portion 14, means for selectively diverting pallets comprising a sweep arm 52 and means 56 for selectively extending a sweep arm 56 to identify individual articles being conveyed along a primary conveyor and sorting

Application/Control Number: 10/624,820

Art Unit: 3652

selected articles for distribution along secondary conveyors extending in a direction lateral to the primary conveyor. Cols. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's feed conveyor to include main and branch portions and a diverter, as per the teachings of Tharpe, to sort items and direct them to separate them according to the portion of feed conveyor they are designated for.

Page 4

- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Tharpe (US 5,887,699) and Ringer (US 4,093,084). Weir discloses a feed conveyor but does not disclose a bypass portion with means for displacement. Ringer discloses a bypass segment 21 to connect branch portions to an unloading conveyor and means for displacing a bypass segment because interconnecting multiple incoming transport vehicles, i.e. trains, with multiple outgoing transport vehicles minimizes loading/unloading time because loads are routed directly to without intermediate storage, unless said intermediate storage is necessary. Cols. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Weir's feed conveyor to include a bypass portion with means for displacement, as per the teachings of Ringer, such that loading/unloading time is minimized because loads are sent directly from an inbound vehicle to an outbound vehicle without intermediate steps.
- 5. Claims 10 & 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Tharpe (US 5,887,699) and Thornton (US 5,054,987).

With respect to claim 10, Weir discloses a dock member 25 means 26 for extending a dock member within a vehicle, and means for selectively transferring pallets from loading/unloading conveyor 24 to a dock member 25, and does not disclose means for selectively restraining a pallet. Thornton discloses means 191 for selectively restraining a pallet to facilitate removal of a dock member 3 from underneath a freight load inside a truck, such that a restraint means 191 engages rear faces of a load in a truck. Cols. 9-10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's dock member to include means for retraining, as per the teachings of Thornton, such that during dock member removal a load remains in a truck.

With respect to claim 14, Weir discloses means 24a for selectively transferring a pallet from a dock member to a loading/unloading conveyor.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Tharpe (US 5,887,699), Thornton (US 5,054,987) and Barski (US 3,042,230). Weir does not disclose means for selectively transferring pallets from loading/unloading conveyor to a dock member. Barski discloses a push plate 23 and means 20 for extending a push plate for shifting stacks of cases from one conveyor to a second conveyor minimizing canting of stacks. Col. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's system to include a push plate and means for extending, as per the teachings of Barski, such that stacks of cases may be transferred from one conveyor to a second without a need for canting of stacks.

Art Unit: 3652

- 7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Tharpe (US 5,887,699), Thornton (US 5,054,987) and Winski (US 5,562,403). Weir does not disclose an unload paddle. Winski discloses an unloading paddle 34, means for selectively moving a paddle 36 and means for translating an unloading paddle (col. 7, ln. 63 col. 8, ln. 20) such that when mounted to a vehicle which repositions shippable goods selectively pushing objects from one conveyor to a second provides for different production steps to take place. Cols. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's dock member to include a paddle and means for selectively moving a paddle, as per the teachings of Winski, such that goods can be moved from one conveyor to a second.
- 8. Claims 16-17, 20, 22, 24 & 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Thornton (US 5,054,987).

With respect to claims 16-17, 22, 24 & 26, Weir discloses an automated cargo loading/unloading system comprising a dock member 25, means for extending a dock member 26, loading/unloading conveyor 24, and a beveled leading edge and does not disclose means for selectively restraining a pallet, thin rigid plate, rollers and ball bearings. Thornton discloses thin rigid plate 41 between inboard and outboard rollers 75 and means 191 for selectively restraining a pallet to facilitate removal of a dock member 3 from underneath a freight load inside a truck and ball bearings (col. 6, Ins. 25-50), such that a restraint means 191 engages rear faces of a load in a truck. Cols. 9-10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/624,820

Art Unit: 3652

the invention was made to modify Weir's dock member to include means for retraining, as per the teachings of Thornton, such that during dock member removal a load remains in a truck.

With respect to claim 20, Weir discloses means 24a for selectively transferring a pallet from a dock member to a loading/unloading conveyor.

- 9. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Thornton (US 5,054,987) and Barski (US 3,042,230). Weir does not disclose means for selectively transferring pallets from loading/unloading conveyor to a dock member. Barski discloses a push plate 23 and means 20 for extending a push plate for shifting stacks of cases from one conveyor to a second conveyor minimizing canting of stacks. Col. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's system to include a push plate and means for extending, as per the teachings of Barski, such that stacks of cases may be transferred from one conveyor to a second without a need for canting of stacks.
- 10. Claims 21, 23 & 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weir (US 3,613,910) in view of Thornton (US 5,054,987), Barski (US 3,042,230) and Winski (US 5,562,403).

With respect to claim 21, Weir does not disclose an unload paddle. Winski discloses an unloading paddle 34, means for selectively moving a paddle 36 and means for translating an unloading paddle (col. 7, ln. 63 - col. 8, ln. 20) such that when mounted to a vehicle which repositions shippable goods selectively pushing objects

Application/Control Number: 10/624,820

Art Unit: 3652

from one conveyor to a second provides for different production steps to take place.

Cols. 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's dock member to include a paddle and means for selectively moving a paddle, as per the teachings of Winski, such that goods can be moved from one conveyor to a second.

With respect to claim 23, Weir discloses drive means 26 for extending a dock member 26.

With respect to claim 25, Weir discloses a dock member but does not disclose rollers spaced from inner and outer rigid plate ends forming dead spots. Thornton discloses rollers 73, 75 spaced from inner and outer rigid plate ends such that as a load is placed in a truck, a dock member can be withdrawn. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weir's dock member to include rollers spaced from inner and outer rigid plates, as per the teachings of Thornton, such that pallets can be loaded into a truck and via dock member that can translate in reverse wile pallets remain stationary.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,820 Page 9

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gwa

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600